arXiv:0809.5109v2 [math.AG] 24 Nov 2008

On $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations of nilpotent orbits

Baohua Fu

November 24, 2008

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra and G its adjoint group. For a parabolic subgroup $Q \subsetneq G$, we denote by $\mathfrak{q}$ its Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{q} = n(\mathfrak{q}) + l(\mathfrak{q})$ its Levi decomposition. For a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_t$ in $l(\mathfrak{q})$, Lusztig and Spaltenstein [L-S] showed that $G \cdot (n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t)$ is a nilpotent orbit closure, say $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$, which depends only on the G-orbit of the pair $(l(\mathfrak{q}), \mathcal{O}_t)$. The variety $n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t$ is Q-invariant and the surjective map

$$\pi : G \times^Q (n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}$$

is generically finite and projective, which will be called *a generalized Springer map*. When $\mathcal{O}_t = 0$ and π is birational, we call π a *Springer resolution*. An *induced orbit* is a nilpotent orbit whose closure is the image of a generalized Springer map. An orbit is called *rigid* if it is not induced.

Recall that for a variety X with rational Gorenstein singularities, a $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial terminalization* of X is a birational projective morphism $p : Y \to X$ such that Y has only $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities and $p^*K_X = K_Y$. When Y is furthermore smooth, we call p a *crepant resolution*. In [F1], the author proved that for nilpotent orbit closures in a semi-simple Lie algebra, crepant resolutions are Springer resolutions. In a recent preprint [N3], Y. Namikawa proposed the following conjecture on $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations of nilpotent orbit closures.

Conjecture 1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a nilpotent orbit in a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ the normalization of its closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$. Then one of the following holds:*

(1) $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal;

(2) every $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by a generalized Springer map. Furthermore, two such terminalizations are connected by Mukai flops (cf. [N1], p. 91).

In [N3], Y. Namikawa proved his conjecture in the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical. In this paper, we shall prove that Conjecture 1 holds for $\mathfrak{g}$ exceptional(Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1). Two interesting results are also obtained: one is the classification of nilpotent orbits with $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ (Proposition 4.4) and the other is the classification of nilpotent orbits with terminal $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ (Proposition 6.8).

Here is the organization of this paper. After recalling results from [B-M], we first give a classification of induced orbits which are images of birational generalized Springer maps (Proposition 3.1). Using this result, we completely settle the problem of $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality of the normalization of a nilpotent orbit closure in exceptional Lie algebras (Proposition 4.4), which shows the surprising result that only in E_6, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ could be non-$\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. We then prove that for rigid orbits the normalization of its closure is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and terminal (see Theorem 5.1). For induced orbits whose closure does not admit a Springer resolution, we shall first prove that except four orbits (which have $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal normalizations), there exists a generalized Springer map which gives a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. For the birational geometry, unlike the classical case proven by Y. Namikawa, two new types of flops appear here, which we call Mukai flops of type $E_{6,I}^I$ and $E_{6,I}^{II}$ (for the definition see section 6.1). We shall prove in a similar way as that in [F2] that any two $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations given by generalized Springer maps of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are connected by Mukai flops of type of type $E_{6,I}^I$ or $E_{6,I}^{II}$ (Corollary 6.5). Then using a similar argument as in [N3], we prove that every $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by a generalized Springer map. An interesting corollary is a classification of nilpotent orbits in a simple exceptional Lie algebra such that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities (Proposition 6.8).

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Y. Namikawa for his corrections and helpful correspondences to this paper. I thank W. de Graaf for his help on computing in **GAP4**. I am grateful to M. Brion, S. Goodwin, H. Kraft, G. Röhrle, E. Sommers for their helpful correspondences.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall recall some results from [B-M]. Let W be the Weyl group of G. The Springer correspondence ([S2]) assigns to any irreducible W-module a unique pair $(\mathcal{O}, \phi)$ consisting of a nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and an irreducible representation ϕ of the component group $A(\mathcal{O}) := G_x/(G_x)^\circ$ of $\mathcal{O}$, where x is any point in $\mathcal{O}$ and $(G_x)^\circ$ is the identity component of G_x. The corresponding irreducible W-module will be denoted by $\rho_{(x,\phi)}$. This correspondence is not surjective onto the set of all pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \phi)$. A pair will be called *relevant* if it corresponds to an irreducible W-module, then the Springer correspondence establishes a bijection between irreducible W-modules and relevant pairs in $\mathfrak{g}$. For G exceptional, the Springer correspondence has been completely worked out in [S1] for G_2, in [S] for F_4 and in [A-L] for $E_n (n = 6, 7, 8)$. We will use the tables in [C] (Section 13.3).

Consider a parabolic sub-group Q in G. Let L be a Levi sub-group of Q and T a maximal torus in L. The Weyl group of L is $W(L) := N_L(T)/T$, where $N_L(T)$ is the normalizer of T in L. It is a sub-group of the Weyl group W of G. For a representation ρ of $W(L)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W}(\rho)$ the induced representation of ρ to W.

Proposition 2.1 ([B-M], proof of Corollary 3.9)**.** *Let $\pi : G \times^Q (n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$ be the generalized Springer map associated to the parabolic sub-group Q and the nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_t$. Then*

$$\deg(\pi) = \sum_{\phi} \mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x,\phi)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W}(\rho_{(t,1)})) \deg \phi,$$

where the sum is over all irreducible representations ϕ of $A(\mathcal{O}_x)$ such that $(\mathcal{O}_x, \phi)$ is a relevant pair, $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x,\phi)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W}(\rho_{(t,1)}))$ is the multiplicity of $\rho_{(x,\phi)}$ in $\mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W}(\rho_{(t,1)})$ and $\deg \phi$ is the dimension of the irreducible representation ϕ.

The multiplicity $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x,\phi)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W_0}^{W}(\rho))$ has been worked out in [A], for any irreducible representation ρ of any maximal parabolic sub-group W_0 of W. Note that $\mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W}(\rho) = \mathrm{Ind}_{W_0}^{W}(\mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W_0}(\rho))$ for any sub-group W_0 of W containing $W(L)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W_0}(\rho)$ can be determined by the Littlewood-Richardson rules when W_0 is classical and by [A] when W_0 is exceptional.

By the remark in section 3.8 [B-M], $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x,1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W}(\rho_{(t,1)})) = 1$, which gives the following useful corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *If $\mathcal{O}$ is an induced orbit with $A(\mathcal{O}) = \{1\}$, then every generalized Springer map is birational.*

Recall that a complex variety Z of dimension n is called *rationally smooth* at a point $z \in Z$ if

$$H_i(Z, Z \setminus \{z\}; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } i = 2n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a generalized Springer map $\pi : Z := G \times^Q (n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$, an orbit $\mathcal{O}_{x'} \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$ is called *π-relevant* if $2 \dim \pi^{-1}(x') = \dim \mathcal{O}_x - \dim \mathcal{O}_{x'}$.

Proposition 2.3 ([B-M], Proposition 3.6)**.** *Assume that Z is rationally smooth at points in $\pi^{-1}(x')$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ is π-relevant if and only if*

$$\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x',1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W} \rho_{(t,1)}) \neq 0.$$

When $t = 0$, $Z \simeq T^*(G/P)$ is smooth, π is the moment map and $\mathcal{O}_x$ is the Richardson orbit associated to P. In this case, $\rho_{(t,1)} = \varepsilon_{W(L)}$ is the sign representation and we have a geometric interpretation of the multiplicity.

Proposition 2.4 ([B-M], Corollary 3.5)**.** *For the map $\pi : T^*(G/P) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$, the multiplicity* $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x',1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}^{W} \varepsilon_{W(L)})$ *is the number of irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{x'})$ of dimension* $\dim \mathcal{O}_x + (\dim G/P - 1/2 \dim \mathcal{O}_x)$.

3 Birational generalized Springer maps

Throughout the paper, we will use notations in [M] (section 5.7) for nilpotent orbits. In this section, we classify nilpotent orbits in a simple exceptional Lie algebra which is the image of a birational generalized Springer map. More precisely, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an induced nilpotent orbit in a simple complex exceptional Lie algebra. The closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is the image of a birational generalized Springer map if and only if $\mathcal{O}$ is not one of the following orbits: A_2+A_1, A_4+A_1 in E_7, A_4+A_1, A_4+2A_1 in E_8.*

By Corollary 2.2, to prove Proposition 3.1, we just need to consider induced orbits with non-trivial $A(\mathcal{O})$ but having no Springer resolutions. The

classification of induced/rigid orbits in exceptional Lie algebras can be found for example in [M] (section 5.7). We will use the tables therein to do a case-by-case check. Note that the G therein is simply-connected, thus $A(x)$ in these tables is $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}_x)$. On can get $A(\mathcal{O})$ by just omitting the copies of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}, d = 2, 3$ when it presents. When $A(\mathcal{O})$ is S_2 (resp. S_3), we will denote by ϵ (resp. ϵ_1, ϵ_2) its non-trivial irreducible representations.

3.1 F_4

There are two orbits to be considered: B_2 and $C_3(a_1)$. The orbit B_2 is induced from $(C_3, 21^4)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = [1^3 : -]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{4,8} = \chi_{4,1}$. By [A] (p. 143), we get $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x,\epsilon)}, \mathrm{Ind}^W_{W(C_3A_1)} \mathrm{Ind}^{W(C_3A_1)}_{W(C_3)}(\rho_{(t,1)})) = 0$, thus the degree of the associated generalized Springer map is one. The orbit $C_3(a_1)$ is induced from $(B_3, 2^21^3)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = [- : 21]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{4,7'} = \chi_{4,4}$. By [A] (p. 147), the degree of π is one.

3.2 E_6

When $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$, every induced orbit either has $A(\mathcal{O}) = \{1\}$ or admits a Springer resolution.

3.3 E_7

We have four orbits to be considered: $A_3+A_2, D_5(a_1), A_2+A_1$ and A_4+A_1.

The orbit $A_3 + A_2$ is induced from $(D_6, 32^21^5)$. A calculus shows that the associated generalized Springer map has degree 2. By a dimension counting, it is also induced from $(D_5 + A_1, [2^21^6] \times [1^2])$. For this induction, one has $\rho_{(t,1)} = [1 : 1^4] \times [1^2]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{84,15} = 84^*_a$. By [A] (p. 49), one gets $\mathrm{mtp}(84^*_a, \mathrm{Ind}^W_{W(D_5A_1)}[1 : 1^4] \times [1^2]) = \mathrm{mtp}(84_a, \mathrm{Ind}^W_{W(D_5A_1)}[4 : 1] \times [2]) = 0$, thus the induced generalized Springer map is birational. The orbit $D_5(a_1)$ is a Richardson orbit but its closure has no Springer resolutions ([F2]). By Thm. 5.3 [M], it is induced from $(D_6, 3^22^21^2)$. One finds $\rho_{(t,1)} = [1^2 : 21^2]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{336,11} = 336^*_a$. Now by [A] (p. 43), the degree is one.

The orbit $A_2 + A_1$ has a unique induction (by dimension counting) given by (E_6, A_1). We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = 6^*_p$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{105,26} = 105_a$. By [A] (p. 51), the degree is 2. The orbit $A_4 + A_1$ is a Richardson orbit with no symplectic resolutions ([F2]), i.e. the degree given by the induction $(A_2 + 2A_1, 0)$ is of degree 2. It has three other inductions, given by (E_6, A_2+2A_1), $(A_6, 2^21^3)$ and

$(A_5 + A_1, 2^4 1^2 + 0)$. One shows that every such induction gives a generalized Springer map of degree 2.

3.4 E_8

We need to consider the following orbits: $A_3+A_2, D_5(a_1), D_6(a_2), E_6(a_3)+A_1, E_7(a_5), E_7(a_4), E_6(a_1)+A_1, E_7(a_3),\ A_4+A_1$ and A_4+2A_1. The orbit A_3+A_2 is induced from $(D_7, 2^2 1^{10})$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = [1 : 1^6]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{972,32} = 972_x^*$. By [A] (p. 105), we get deg $= 1$. The orbit $D_5(a_1)$ is induced from $(E_7, A_2 + A_1)$ by Thm. 5.3 [M]. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = 120_a^*$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{2100,28} = 2100_x^*$. By [A] (p. 140), we get deg $= 1$. The induction from (E_6, A_1) gives a map of degree 2. The orbit $D_6(a_2)$ is induced from $(D_7, 32^4 1^3)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = [- : 2^3 1]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{2688,20} = 2688_y$. By [A](p. 106), we get deg $= 1$. The orbit $E_6(a_3) + A_1$ is induced from $(E_7, 2A_2 + A_1)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = \phi_{70,18} = 70_a$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{1134,20} = 1134_y$. By [A](p. 139), we get deg $= 1$. The orbit $E_7(a_5)$ has $A(\mathcal{O}) = S_3$ and is induced from $(E_6+A_1, 3A_1+0)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = \phi_{15,16}\times[1^2] = 15_q^*\times[1^2]$, $\rho_{(x,\epsilon_1)} = \phi_{5600,19} = 5600_w$, $\rho_{(x,\epsilon_2)} = \phi_{448,25} = 448_w$. By [A] (p. 136), we get deg $= 1$. The orbit $E_7(a_4)$ is induced from $(E_7, A_3 + A_2)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = \phi_{378,14} = 378_a$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{700,16} = 700_{xx}$. By [A](p. 139), we get deg $= 1$. The orbit $E_6(a_1) + A_1$ is induced from $(E_7, A_4 + A_1)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = \phi_{512,11} = 512_a^*$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{4096,12} = 4096_x$. By [A](p. 141), we get deg $= 1$. The orbit $E_7(a_3)$ is induced from $(D_6, 3^2 2^2 1^2)$. We have $\rho_{(t,1)} = [1^2 : 21^2]$ and $\rho_{(x,\epsilon)} = \phi_{1296,13} = 1296_z$. By [A](p. 43), we get $\mathrm{Ind}_{W(D_6)}^{W(E_7)}[1^2 : 21^2] = 189_b + 189_c + 315_a + 280_a + 336_a + 216_a + 512_a + 378_a + 420_a$. Now by [A](p.138, p.140), we get deg $= 1$.

The orbit $A_4 + A_1$ has a unique induction given by $(E_6 + A_1, A_1 + 0)$, which gives a generalized Springer map of degree 2. The orbit $A_4 + 2A_1$ has a unique induction, given by $(D_7, 2^4 1^6)$. This gives a map of degree 2.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

4 $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality

In this section, we study the problem of $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality of the normalization of a nilpotent orbit closure.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}_x$ be a nilpotent orbit in a complex simple Lie algebra and $(G_x)^\circ$ the identity component of the stabilizer G_x in G. Assume that*

the character group $\chi((G_x)^\circ)$ is finite, then $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ *is finite and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*

Proof. The exact sequence $1 \to (G_x)^\circ \xrightarrow{i} G_x \to A(\mathcal{O}_x) := G_x/(G_x)^\circ \to 1$ induces an exact sequence: $1 \to \chi(A(\mathcal{O}_x)) \to \chi(G_x) \to \mathrm{Im}(i^*) \to 1$. By assumption, $\chi((G_x)^\circ)$ is finite, so is $\mathrm{Im}(i^*)$. On the other hand, $A(\mathcal{O}_x)$ is a finite group, thus $\chi(A(\mathcal{O}_x))$ is also finite. This gives the finiteness of $\chi(G_x)$. The exact sequence $1 \to G_x \to G \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_x \to 1$ induces an exact sequence $1 \to \chi(G_x) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_x) \to \mathrm{Im}(q^*) \to 1$. As $\mathrm{Pic}(G)$ is finite, so is $\mathrm{Im}(q^*)$. This proves that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ is finite. The last claim follows from $\mathrm{codim}(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_x \setminus \mathcal{O}_x) \geq 2$. □

Remark 4.2. *It is a subtle problem to work out explicitly the group* $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_x)$*, since in general q^*, i^* are not surjective.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\pi : T^*(G/P) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ be a resolution. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if and only if the number of irreducible exceptional divisors of π equals to $b_2(G/P)$.*

Proof. As $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a positive weighted $\mathbb{C}^*$-action with a unique fixed point, $\mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ is trivial. As a consequence, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if and only if $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite. Let $E_i, i = 1, \cdots, k$ be the irreducible exceptional divisors of π. We have the following exact sequence:

$$\oplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Q}[E_i] \to \mathrm{Pic}(T^*(G/P)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to 0.$$

By [N3] (Lemma 1.1.1), the first map is injective. Now it is clear that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite if and only if $k = b_2(G/P)$.

□

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a nilpotent orbit in a simple exceptional Lie algebra and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ the normalization of its closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if and only if $\mathcal{O}$ is not one of the following orbits in E_6: $2A_1, A_2 + A_1, A_2 + 2A_1, A_3, A_3 + A_1, A_4, A_4 + A_1, D_5(a_1), D_5$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we just need to check orbits whose type of C contains a factor of T_i in the tables of [C](Chap. 13, p.401-407). This gives that nilpotent orbit closures in G_2 and F_4 are $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

In E_6, there are in total ten orbits to be considered. The orbit closures of $2A_1, A_2 + 2A_1$ have small resolutions by [N1], thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. As

we will see in section 6.1, the orbit closures of A_2+A_1, A_3+A_1 have small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. The six left orbit closures have symplectic resolutions. We will now use Proposition 2.4 to calculate the numbers of irreducible exceptional divisors and then apply Lemma 4.3. When $\mathcal{O} = A_3$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_4, 0)$. The boundary $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_2+2A_1}$ has codimension 2 and $\rho_{(A_2+2A_1,1)} = \phi_{60,11} = 60_p^*$. By [A] (p. 31), we get mtp = 1 while $b_2(G/P) = 2$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. When $\mathcal{O} = D_4(a_1)$, it is an even orbit and a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(2A_2+A_1, 0)$ The boundary $\bar{\mathcal{O}}\setminus\mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3+A_1}$ has codimension 2. By [A] (p.33), we get mtp = $1 = b_2(G/P)$. This implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = A_4$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_3, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}\setminus\mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_4(a_1)}$ has codimension 2. We find that mtp = 2 while $b_2(G/P) = 3$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = A_4 + A_1$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_2 + 2A_1, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4}$ has codimension 2. By [A], we find mtp = 1 while $b_2(G/P) = 2$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = D_5(a_1)$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_2 + A_1, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_4}$. Only $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1}$ has codimension 2. By [A], we find mtp = 2 while $b_2(G/P) = 3$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = D_5$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(2A_1, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_6(a_3)}$ has codimension 2. By [A], we find mtp = 1 while $b_2(G/P) = 4$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

In E_7, there are six orbits to be considered. For $\mathcal{O} = A_4$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_1 + D_4, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3+A_2}$ is of codimension 2. Using [A], we find mtp $= 2 = b_2(G/P)$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = E_6(a_1)$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(4A_1, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_7(a_4)}$ is of codimension 2. Using [A], we find mtp $= 3 = b_2(G/P)$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

In E_8, there are seven orbits to be considered. For $\mathcal{O} = D_5 + A_2$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_2 + A_4, 0)$ and and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_7(a_4)} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_6+A_1}$ is of codimension 2. As both orbits are special, they are relevant, so we get mtp $= 2 = b_2(G/P)$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = D_7(a_2)$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(2A_3, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_5+A_2}$ is of codimension 2. Using [A], we find mtp $= 2 = b_2(G/P)$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. For $\mathcal{O} = D_7(a_1)$, a symplectic resolution is given by the induction $(A_2 + A_3, 0)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_7(a_3)} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_8(b_6)}$ is of pure codimension 2. Using [A], we find mtp $= 3 = b_2(G/P)$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

Now we consider the following orbits: $A_3+A_2, D_5(a_1)$ in E_7 and A_3+A_2 in

E_8. By the proof of Proposition 3.1, $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization given by a generalized Springer map $\pi : Z := G \times^P (n(\mathfrak{p}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ with $b_2(G/P) = 1$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_t) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$. One checks easily that for such $\mathcal{O}$, $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ contains a unique codimension 2 orbit $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$. We then use [A] to check that $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(x',1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(L)}\, \rho_{(t,1)}) \neq 0$. As the variety Z is smooth along $G \times^P (n(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathcal{O}_t)$, one checks that Z is smooth in codimension 3. We can now apply Prop. 2.3 to deduce that the pre-image of $\mathcal{O}_{x'}$ under the generalized Springer map is of codimension 1, thus the map is divisorial. As $b_2(Z) = 1$, this implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

Now we consider the orbit: $A_2 + A_1$ in E_7. By the proof of Proposition 3.1, the induction $(E_7, A_2 + A_1)$ of $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{D_5(a_1)}$ in E_8 gives a birational map $Z := G \times^P (n(\mathfrak{p}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_2+A_1}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. We have $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_4+A_1} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1}$. Only the component $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1}$ is of codimension 2 and one shows that π is smooth over points in $\mathcal{O}_{A_4+A_1}$. By applying the proof of Proposition 2.4, we can show that the number of irreducible exceptional divisors of π is equal to the multiplicity $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(A_4+A_1,1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(E_7)}^{W(E_8)}\, \rho_{(A_2+A_1,1)})$, which is 1 by [A]. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite by Lemma 4.1. Applying the arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we get that $\mathrm{Pic}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$, which implies that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{A_2+A_1}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, thus $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_2+A_1}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.

The claim for the remaining four orbits ($A_4 + A_1$ in E_7, $A_4 + A_1, A_4 + 2A_1, E_6(a_1) + A_1$ in E_8) is proved by the following Lemma. □

For a nilpotent element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, the Jacobson-Morozov theorem gives an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triplet (x, y, h), i.e. $[h, x] = 2x, [h, y] = -2y, [x, y] = h$. This triplet makes $\mathfrak{g}$ an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module, so we have a decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_i$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i = \{z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, z] = iz\}$. The Jacobson-Morozov parabolic sub-algebra of this triplet is $\mathfrak{p} := \oplus_{i\geq 0}\mathfrak{g}_i$. Let P be the parabolic subgroup of G determined by $\mathfrak{p}$, whose marked Dynkin diagram is given by marking the non-zero nodes in the weighted Dynkin diagram of x. The *Jacobson-Morozov resolution* of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$ is given by $\mu : Z := G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2 \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}_x$, where $\mathfrak{n}_2 := \oplus_{i\geq 2}\mathfrak{g}_i$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{p}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be one of the following orbits: $A_4 + A_1$ in E_7, $A_4 + A_1, A_4 + 2A_1, E_6(a_1) + A_1$ in E_8. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*

Proof. We will consider the Jacobson-Morozov resolution $\mu : G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2 \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. By [N3] (Lemma 1.1.1), $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if the number of μ-exceptional divisors is equal to $b_2(G/P)$. To find μ-exceptional divisors, we will use the computer algebra system **GAP4** to compute the dimension of the orbit $P \cdot z$

for $z \in \mathfrak{n}_2$ (which is the same as $\dim[\mathfrak{p}, z]$). We denote by β_j the root vector corresponding to the j-th positive root of $\mathfrak{g}$ as present in **GAP4** (see [dG] Appendix B).

Consider first the orbit $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{A_4+A_1}$ in E_7. Its Jacobson-Morozov parabolic subgroup P is given by marking the nodes $\alpha_1, \alpha_4, \alpha_6$(in Bourbaki's ordering). Let Q_1 (resp. Q_2) be the parabolic subgroup given by marking the nodes α_1, α_6 (resp. α_6). We have $P \subset Q_1 \subset Q_2$. Let $Z_i := G \times^{Q_i} (Q_i \cdot \mathfrak{n}_2)$ and $\tilde{Z}_i$ its normalization. The Jacobson-Morozov resolution μ factorizes through three contractions:

$$Z \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \tilde{Z}_1 \xrightarrow{\mu_2} \tilde{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\mu_3} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}.$$

We consider the following three elements in $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $x_1 := \beta_{20} + \beta_{21} + \beta_{25} + \beta_{29}$, $x_2 := \beta_{21}+\beta_{25}+\beta_{26}+\beta_{27}+\beta_{28}+\beta_{29}+\beta_{47}$, $x_3 := \beta_{20}+\beta_{21}+\beta_{28}+\beta_{29}+\beta_{30}+\beta_{31}$. Let $E_i := G \times^P \overline{P \cdot x_i}$. Using **GAP4**, we find $\dim(E_i) = \dim(G/P)+\dim(P \cdot x_i) = 103$, thus E_i are irreducible divisors in Z. By calculating the dimensions of $Q_i \cdot x_j$ using **GAP4**, we get that μ_1 contracts E_1 while $\mu_1(E_2)$ and $\mu_1(E_3)$ are again divisors. The divisor $\mu_1(E_2)$ is contracted by μ_2 while $\mu_2(\mu_1(E_3))$ is again a divisor, which is contracted by μ_3. This shows that the three μ-exceptional divisors $E_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are distinct, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Using the program in [dG], we find $\mu(E_1) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4}$ and $\mu(E_2) = \mu(E_3) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3+A_2+A_1}$.

For the orbit $A_4 + A_1$ in E_8, its Jacobson-Morozov parabolic subgroup P is given by marking the nodes $\alpha_1, \alpha_6, \alpha_8$. Let Q_1 (resp. Q_2) be the parabolic subgroup given by marking the nodes α_1, α_8 (resp. α_8).As before, we define $\tilde{Z}_i$ and μ_i. We consider the following three elements in $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $x_1 := \beta_{42} + \beta_{57} + \beta_{53} + \beta_{43}$, $x_2 := \beta_{29} + \beta_{45} + \beta_{56} + \beta_{57} + \beta_{58} + \beta_{59}$, $x_3 := \beta_{57} + \beta_{56} + \beta_{59} + \beta_{54} + \beta_{61} + \beta_{45} + \beta_{58}$. We define E_i as before and by using **GAP4** we find that $E_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are divisors in Z. The map μ_1 contracts E_1, the map μ_2 contracts the divisor $\mu_1(E_2)$ and the map μ_3 contracts the divisor $\mu_2(\mu_1(E_3))$. This shows that $E_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are distinct, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. We have furthermore $\mu(E_1) = \mu(E_2) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4}$ and $\mu(E_3) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_4(a_1)+A_2}$.

For the orbit $A_4 + 2A_1$ in E_8, its Jacobson-Morozov parabolic subgroup P is given by marking the nodes α_4, α_8. Let Q_1 be the parabolic subgroup given by marking the nodes α_8. We define similarly $\mu_i, \tilde{Z}_1$. We consider the following elements in $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $x_1 := \beta_{42} + \beta_{57} + \beta_{53} + \beta_{43} + \beta_{61}$, $x_2 := \beta_{32} + \beta_{42} + \beta_{47} + \beta_{53} + \beta_{57} + \beta_{61}$. As before, we define $E_i, i = 1, 2$, which are divisors by calculating in **GAP4**. The map μ_1 contracts E_1 and the map μ_2 contracts the divisor $\mu_1(E_2)$, thus $E_1 \neq E_2$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. We have furthermore $\mu(E_1) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1}$ and $\mu(E_2) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{2A_3}$.

The orbit $\mathcal{O} := E_6(a_1) + A_1$ is induced from $(E_7, A_4 + A_1)$. The generalized Springer map $Z := G \times^P (n(\mathfrak{p}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is birational. We have $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_6(a_1)} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_7(a_2)}$. Only the component $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_7(a_2)}$ is of codimension 2 and one shows that π is smooth over points in $\mathcal{O}_{D_7(a_2)}$. By applying the proof of Proposition 2.4, we can show that the number of irreducible exceptional divisors of π is equal to the multiplicity $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(A_4+A_1,1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(E_7)}^{W(E_8)} \rho_{(A_2+A_1,1)})$, which is 1 by [A]. On the other hand, we have just proved the $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{A_4+A_1}$, thus $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{A_4+A_1})$ is finite. This gives that $b_2(G \times^P (n(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathcal{O}_{A_4+A_1})) = 1$ and π contains an exceptional divisor, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. □

5 Rigid orbits

The aim of this section is to prove Conjecture 1 for rigid orbits. The classification of rigid orbits can be found for example in [M] (Section 5.7).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a rigid nilpotent orbit in a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal.*

Proof. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical, this is proven in [N3]. From now on, we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is exceptional. The $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.4. As $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is symplectic, it has terminal singularities if $\mathrm{codim}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}) \geq 4$. Using the tables in [M] (section 5.7, 6.4), we calculate the codimension of $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$ and it follows that every rigid orbit satisfies $\mathrm{codim}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}) \geq 4$ except the following orbits: $\tilde{A}_1$ in G_2, $\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$ in F_4, $(A_3 + A_1)'$ in E_7, $A_3 + A_1, A_5 + A_1, D_5(a_1) + A_2$ in E_8.

Consider first the orbit $\mathcal{O} := \tilde{A}_1$ in G_2. Its Jacobson-Morozov parabolic subgroup is given by marking the node α_1(in Bourbaki's ordering). Consider the Jacobson-Morozov resolution $Z := G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2 \xrightarrow{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. By [F1], $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ has no crepant resolution, thus μ is not small. As $b_2(G/P) = 1$, there exists one unique μ-exceptional irreducible divisor E. The canonical divisor K_Z is then given by $K_Z = aE$ with $a > 0$. This implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities. This fact is already known in [K] by a different method.

We now consider the three orbits in E_8. Let $Z := G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2 \xrightarrow{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ be the Jacobson-Morozov resolution and $p : Z \to G/P$ the natural projection. Let $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_8$ be the fundamental weights of E_8. The Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(G/P)$ is generated by ω_i s.t. α_i is a marked node. The canonical bundle of Z is given by $K_Z = p^*(K_{G/P} \otimes det(G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2^*))$. Let $\cup_j E_j$ be the exceptional

locus of μ, which is of pure codimension 1 since $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. We have $K_Z = \sum_j a_j E_j$ with $a_j \geq 0$. Note that if we can show $K_{G/P}^{-1} \otimes det(G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2)$ is ample on G/P, then $a_j > 0$ for all j(since p does not contract any μ-exceptional curve), which will prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities. As $T^*(G/P) \simeq G \times^P (\oplus_{k \leq -1} \mathfrak{g}_k)$, the line bundle $K_{G/P}^{-1} \otimes det(G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2)$ corresponds to the character $\wedge^{top}(\oplus_{k \leq -1} \mathfrak{g}_k) \otimes \wedge^{top}(\oplus_{k \geq 2} \mathfrak{g}_k) \simeq \wedge^{top} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ of P. An explicit basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and the action of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ on it can be computed using **GAP4**. For the orbit $A_3 + A_1$, we get that $K_Z = p^*(-13\omega_6 - 3\omega_8)$. For the orbit $A_5 + A_1$, we get $K_Z = p^*(-3\omega_1 - 7\omega_4 - 5\omega_8)$. For the orbit $D_5(a_1) + A_2$, we get $K_Z = p^*(-7\omega_3 - 6\omega_6 - 3\omega_8)$. This proves the claim for these three orbits.

In a similar way, for the orbit $\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$ in F_4, we find that $K_Z = p^*(3\omega_4 - 2\omega_2)$ and for the orbit $(A_3 + A_1)'$ in E_7, we obtain $K_Z = p^*(5\omega_1 - 3\omega_4)$, thus the precedent argument does not apply here. Instead we will use another approach. Recall ([P]) that there exists a 2-form Ω on $Z := G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2$ which is defined at a point $(g, x) \in G \times \mathfrak{n}_2$ by: $\Omega_{(g,x)}((u, m), (u', m')) = \kappa([u, u'], x) + \kappa(m', u) - \kappa(m, u')$, where $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Killing form. The tangent space of Z at the point (g, x) is identified with the quotient

$$\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{n}_2 / \{(u, [x, u]) | u \in \oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_i\}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 in [B], The kernel of $\Omega_{(g,x)}$ consists of images of elements $(u, [x, u])$ with $u \in \oplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{g}_i$ such that $[x, u] \in \mathfrak{n}_2$. This shows that $\Omega_{(g,x)}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the set $\mathrm{Kr}_x := \{u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} | [x, u] \in \mathfrak{n}_2\}$ is reduced to $\{0\}$. Let $s := \wedge^{top}\Omega$, then $K_Z = div(s)$ and $s((g, x)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Kr}_x = \{0\}$. To prove our claim, we just need to show that for a generic point x in every μ-exceptional divisor, the section s vanishes at x, i.e. to show that $\mathrm{Kr}_x \neq \{0\}$.

For the orbit $\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$ in F_4, we consider the two elements in $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $x_1 := \beta_{11} + \beta_{12}$ and $x_2 := \beta_{14} + \beta_{15} + \beta_{16}$. Define $E_i := G \times^P \overline{P \cdot x_i}, i = 1, 2$. Using **GAP4**, we find that E_1 and E_2 are of codimension 1 in Z. We have $\mu(E_1) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{A}_2}$ and $\mu(E_2) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_2 + \tilde{A}_1}$, which shows that the two divisors are distinct. As $b_2(G/P) = 2$, we get $\mathrm{Exc}(\mu) = E_1 \cup E_2$. Consider the two elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$: $u_1 := \beta_{28}$ and $u_2 := \beta_{25} - 2\beta_{28}$. Then we have $[x_1, u_1] = 0$ and $[x_2, u_2] = \beta_{12} \in \mathfrak{n}_2$, which proves that $u_1 \in \mathrm{Kr}_{x_1}$ and $u_2 \in \mathrm{Kr}_{x_2}$. From this we get that $K_Z = a_1 E_1 + a_2 E_2$ with $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2$.

For the orbit $(A_3 + A_1)'$ in E_7, we consider the two elements in $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $x_1 := \beta_{20} + \beta_{21} + \beta_{49}$ and $x_2 := \beta_{20} + \beta_{34} + \beta_{35} + \beta_{37} + \beta_{43} + \beta_{45}$. We define in

a similar way E_1, E_2 which are divisors by a calculus in **GAP4**. We have $\mu(E_1) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3}$ and $\mu(E_2) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{2A_2+A_1}$, thus $\mathrm{Exc}(\mu) = E_1 \cup E_2$. Consider the two elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$: $u_1 := \beta_{67}$ and $u_2 := \beta_{64} - \beta_{79} - \beta_{81}$. Then we have $[x_1, u_1] = 0$ and $[x_2, u_2] = -\beta_{26} - \beta_{27} + \beta_{40} \in \mathfrak{n}_2$, which proves that $u_1 \in \mathrm{Kr}_{x_1}$ and $u_2 \in \mathrm{Kr}_{x_2}$. We deduce that $K_Z = a_1E_1 + a_2E_2$ with $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2$, which concludes the proof. □

Remark 5.2. *The three orbits in E_8 can also be dealt with in the same way. Thus in this paper, the essential point where we used* **GAP4** *is to compute the dimension of $[\mathfrak{p}, x]$ (surely we have used it in a crucial way to find the elements x_i in $\mathfrak{n}_2$ and u_i in $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$).*

Corollary 5.3. *The normalization $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is smooth in codimension 3 for the following orbits: $\tilde{A}_1$ in G_2, $\tilde{A}_2 + A_1$ in F_4, $(A_3 + A_1)'$ in E_7, $A_3 + A_1, A_5 + A_1, D_5(a_1) + A_2$ in E_8. In particular, the closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ of these orbits is non-normal.*

Although the complete classification of $\mathcal{O}$ with normal closure is unknown in E_7 and E_8, E. Sommers communicated to the author that the orbits in the corollary are known to have non-normal closures.

6 Induced orbits

Recall ([F1], [F2]) that a nilpotent orbit closure in a simple Lie algebra admits a crepant resolution if and only if it is a Richardson orbit but not in the following list: $A_4 + A_1, D_5(a_1)$ in E_7, $E_6(a_1) + A_1, E_7(a_3)$ in E_8. On the other hand, by [N2], if $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a crepant resolution, then any $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is in fact a crepant resolution . Furthermore the birational geometry between their crepant resolutions are well-understood ([N1], [F2]). Thus to prove Conjecture 1, we will only consider induced orbits whose closure does not admit any crepant resolution.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an induced nilpotent orbit in a complex simple exceptional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ admits no crepant resolution. Then*

(i) The variety $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities for the following induced orbits: $A_2 + A_1, A_4 + A_1$ in E_7 and $A_4 + A_1, A_4 + 2A_1$ in E_8.

(ii) If $\mathcal{O}$ is not in the list of (i), then any $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by a generalized Springer map. Two $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ are connected by Mukai flops of type $E^I_{6,I}$ or $E^{II}_{6,I}$ (defined in section 6.1).

Remark 6.2. *Unlike the classical case proved in [N3], for an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ has no Springer resolution, the Mukai flops of type $A-D-E_6$ defined in [N1] (p. 91) do not appear here. See Corollary 6.5 and Corollary 6.7.*

6.1 Mukai flops

Let P be one of the maximal parabolics in $G := E_6$ corresponding to the following marked Dynkin diagrams:

The Levi part of P is isomorphic to D_5. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_I$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{II}$) the nilpotent orbit in $l(\mathfrak{p})$ corresponding to the partition $2^2 1^6$ (resp. $32^2 1^3$). Then we have two generalized Springer maps π_I, π_{II} with image being the closures of orbits $A_2 + A_1, A_3 + A_1$ respectively. As the component group $A(\mathcal{O}_{A_2+A_1}) = A(\mathcal{O}_{A_3+A_1}) = \{1\}$, both maps are birational. By [N3], $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_I, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{II}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal, thus π_I, π_{II} give $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations.

Lemma 6.3. *The two maps π_I, π_{II} are small, i.e. the exceptional locus has codimension at least 2.*

Proof. For π_I, we have $codim(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_2+A_1} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{A_2+A_1}) = 4$. As π_I is semi-small, this implies the claim. For π_{II}, the orbit closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3+A_1}$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{A_3+A_1} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{2A_2+A_1}$. The codimension of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3}$ in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is 4, so its pre-image has codimension at least 2. The codimension of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{2A_2+A_1}$ in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ is 2. As one sees easily, $G \times^P (n(\mathfrak{p}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t)$ is smooth over points in $\mathcal{O}_{2A_2+A_1}$. By Proposition 2.3, we need to check $\mathrm{mtp}(\rho_{(2A_2+A_1,1)}, \mathrm{Ind}_{W(D_5)}^{W} \rho_{(\mathcal{O}_{II},1)}) = 0$. By [C], we have $\rho_{(2A_2+A_1,1)} = 10_s$ and $\rho_{(\mathcal{O}_{II},1)} = [- : 2^2 1]$. By [A] (p. 31), we get the claim. □

When P changes from one parabolic to the other, we get two $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminations of the same orbit. The birational map between them is then a flop, which we will call *Mukai flop of type $E_{6,I}^I$ and $E_{6,I}^{II}$* respectively.

6.2 Proof of the theorem

For an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in list (i) of the theorem, the variety $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial by Proposition 4.4. One checks using tables in Section 5.7 and 6.4 [M] that

$\mathrm{codim}(\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}) \geq 4$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has only terminal singularities. This proves claim (i) in the theorem.

Let now $\mathcal{O}$ be an induced orbit not in list (i). By Proposition 3.1 we have a birational generalized Springer map

$$\pi : G \times^Q (n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t) \to \bar{\mathcal{O}}.$$

For orbits listed in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we check from the above and from Theorem 5.1 that for our choice of $\mathcal{O}_t$, the variety $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t$ is either $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal or it admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization given by a generalized Springer map. For orbits with $A(\mathcal{O}) = \{1\}$, i.e. those not listed above, we can check this using the induction tables in [M] (Section 5.7). This shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a generalized Springer map which gives a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization.

Lemma 6.4. *For any orbit $\mathcal{O}$ not listed in (i), there exists a unique pair $(l(\mathfrak{q}), \mathcal{O}_t)$ which induces $\mathcal{O}$ such that the associated generalized Springer gives a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Proof. Note that if the normalization of $G \times^Q (n(\mathfrak{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{O}}_t)$ gives a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal. As $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ has no Springer resolution, we have $\mathcal{O}_t \neq 0$. When $l(\mathfrak{q})$ is of classical type, by the proof of Proposition (2.1.1) [N3], the partition $d := [d_1, \cdots, d_k]$ of $\mathcal{O}_t$ has *full members*, i.e. every integer between 1 and d_1 appears in d. When $l(\mathfrak{q})$ is exceptional, we need to consider $\mathcal{O}_t$ such that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_t$ is not the image of a birational generalized Springer map. By Proposition 3.1, we may assume $\mathcal{O}_t$ is rigid or $\mathcal{O}_t$ is the orbit $A_2 + A_1$ in E_7.

In [Sp] (Appendix in Chap. II), Spaltenstein reproduced the tables of Elashvili which gives all inductions with $\mathcal{O}_t$ rigid. For our purpose, when $l(\mathfrak{q})$ is of classical type, there are only two additional cases (both in E_8) not contained therein: the induction $(D_7, 3^2 2^2 1^4)$ for $E_8(a_7)$ and $(D_6, 3^2 2^2 1^2)$ for $E_7(a_3)$. When $l(\mathfrak{q})$ is of exceptional type, we need to consider the induction $(E_7, A_2 + A_1)$ of $D_5(a_1)$ in E_8. A case-by-case check gives that we have only a few orbits (only in E_7, E_8) which admit two inductions from either a rigid orbit or from an orbit listed above.

In E_7, the orbit $A_3 + A_2$ admits two such inductions from $(D_6, 32^2 1^5)$ and $(D_5 + A_1, 2^2 1^6 + 0)$. By section 3.3, only the second gives a birational generalized Springer map.

In E_8, the orbit $A_3 + A_2$ is induced from $(E_7, (3A_1)')$ and from $(D_7, 2^2 1^{10})$. For the degree of the first, we have $\rho_{(t,1)} = \phi_{35,31} = 35_b^*$ and $\rho_{x,\epsilon} = 972_x^*$. By

[A](p. 137), we get the degree is 2, thus it is not birational. The orbit $D_5(a_1)$ is induced from (E_6, A_1) and from (E_7, A_2+A_1). By the proof of Proposition 3.1, only the second induction gives a birational generalized Springer map. The orbit $E_7(a_5)$ is induced from $(E_7, (A_3+A_1)')$ and from $(E_6+A_1, 3A_1+0)$. For the degree of the first, we have $\rho_{(t,1)} = \phi_{280,17} = 280_b^*$ and $\rho_{x,\epsilon_1} = 5600_w, \rho_{x,\epsilon_2} = 448_w$. By [A](p. 142), we get the degree is 2, thus it is not birational. The orbit $E_7(a_4)$ is induced from $(D_6, 32^21^5)$ and from $(D_5 + A_1, 32^21^3+0)$. One shows that only the first one gives a birational map. □

Corollary 6.5. *For an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ in the theorem but not in the list (i), any two $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ given by generalized Springer maps are connected by Mukai flops of type $E_{6,I}^I$ or $E_{6,I}^{II}$.*

Proof. Consider a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization given by the generalized Springer map associated to $(P, \mathcal{O}_t)$ with $\mathcal{O}_t \neq 0$. Note that if $l(\mathfrak{p})$ is of type A, then $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a Springer resolution, which contradicts our assumption. This allows us to consider only the following situations (for the other cases, there exists a unique conjugacy class of parabolic subgroups with Levi part being $l(\mathfrak{p})$): i) $l(\mathfrak{p})$ is D_5 in $E_n, n = 6, 7, 8$. ii) $l(\mathfrak{p})$ is either $D_4 + A_1$ or $D_5 + A_1$ in E_8.

Consider case i). In E_6, this is given by the definition of Mukai flops. In E_7, the induction $(D_5, 32^21^3)$ gives two $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of the orbit closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{D_6(a_2)}$, which are connected by a Mukai flop of type $E_{6,I}^{II}$. The induction $(D_5, 2^21^6)$ gives the even orbit A_4. In E_8, the induction $(D_5, 32^21^3)$ gives two $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of the orbit closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{E_7(a_2)}$, which are connected by a Mukai flop of type $E_{6,I}^{II}$, while the induction $(D_5, 2^21^6)$ gives the even orbit $E_6(a_1)$.

Consider case ii). The induction $(D_4 + A_1, 32^21 + 1^2)$ (resp. $(D_4 + A_1, 2^21^4 + 1^2)$) gives the even orbit $E_8(b_4)$ (resp. $E_8(a_6)$). The induction $(D_5 + A_1, 32^21^3 + 1^2)$ gives the even orbit $D_7(a_1)$, while the induction $(D_5 + A_1, 2^21^6 + 1^2)$ of $E_7(a_4)$ gives a generalized Springer map of degree 2. □

Now we prove that every $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\mathcal{O}$ not in (i) is given by a generalized Springer map. The following proposition is analogous to Proposition (2.2.1) in [N3].

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a nilpotent orbit in a simple exceptional Lie algebra such that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ does not admit a Springer resolution. Suppose that a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by the normalization of $G \times^Q (n(\mathfrak{q}) +$*

$\bar{\mathcal{O}}_t$) for some parabolic Q and some nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}_t$ in $l(\mathfrak{q})$. Assume that $b_2(G/Q) = 1$ and the $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization is small. Then this generalized Springer map is one of those in Section 6.1.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is neither the orbit $A_2 + A_1$ nor $A_3 + A_1$ in E_6. As we only consider $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ has no Springer resolutions, by Proposition 4.4, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. This implies that every $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is divisorial, which concludes the proof. □

Now one can argue as in the proof of Theorem (2.2.2) in [N3] to show that every $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization of $\mathcal{O}$ not in (i) is actually given by a generalized Springer map. This concludes the proof of our theorem.

The following corollary is immediate from Theorem 6.1 and the proof of Corollary 6.5.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an induced nilpotent orbit. Assume that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ has no Springer resolution. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization unless $\mathcal{O}$ is one of the following orbits: $A_2 + A_1, A_3 + A_1$ in E_6, $D_6(a_2)$ in E_7, $E_7(a_2)$ in E_8, in which case $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ admits exactly two different $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalizations.*

To conclude this paper, we give the following classification of nilpotent orbits such that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a nilpotent orbit in a simple complex exceptional Lie algebra. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities if and only if $\mathcal{O}$ is one of the following orbits:*

(1) rigid orbits;

(2) $2A_1, A_2 + A_1, A_2 + 2A_1$ in E_6, $A_2 + A_1$, $A_4 + A_1$ in E_7, $A_4 + A_1$, $A_4 + 2A_1$ in E_8.

Proof. By using tables in Section 5.7 and 6.4 of [M], we get that for the three orbits in E_6 of (2), we have $\operatorname{codim}(\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}) \geq 4$, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities. By Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, this implies that the variety $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ has terminal singularities for orbits in (1) and (2).

Assume now $\mathcal{O}$ is not in the list, then by Theorem 6.1, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminalization given by a generalized Springer map. This implies that if $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not terminal. By Proposition 4.4, we may assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is one of the following orbits in E_6: $A_3, A_3 + A_1, A_4, A_4 +$

$A_1, D_5(a_1)$ and D_5. As for these orbits except $A_3 + A_1$, the closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ admits a symplectic resolution, thus $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not terminal.

We consider the orbit $\mathcal{O} := A_3 + A_1$ in E_6. We will use the method in the proof of Theorem 5.1 to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not terminal. Consider the Jacobson-Morozov resolution $Z := G \times^P \mathfrak{n}_2 \xrightarrow{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{O}}$, where P is given by marking the nodes $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_5$. We have $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O} = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3} \cup \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{2A_2+A_1}$. We consider the following two elements in $\mathfrak{n}_2$: $x_1 := \beta_{17}+\beta_{15}+\beta_{20}$ and $x_2 := \beta_{17}+\beta_{18}+\beta_{20}+\beta_{21}+\beta_{24}$. We define $E_i := G \times^P \overline{P \cdot x_i}, i = 1, 2$, which are irreducible divisors by a calculus in **GAP4**. We have furthermore $\mu(E_1) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{A_3}$ and $\mu(E_2) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{2A_2+A_1}$, thus the two divisors are distinct. As $b_2(G/P) = 3$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is non-$\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, E_1, E_2 are the only two μ-exceptional divisors. Using a calculus in **GAP4**, we can show that $\mathrm{Kr}_{x_1} := \{u \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} | [x_1, u] \in \mathfrak{n}_2\}$ is reduced to $\{0\}$ and $\mathrm{Kr}_{x_2} \neq \{0\}$. This implies that $K_Z = aE_2$ for some $a > 0$, which proves that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is not terminal. □

References

[A] D. Alvis, *Induce/restrict matrices for exceptional Weyl groups*, math.RT/0506377

[A-L] D. Alvis, G. Lusztig, *On Springers correspondence for simple groups of type En (n = 6, 7, 8)*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 92 (1) (1982) 65–78, with an appendix by N. Spaltenstein.

[B] A. Beauville, *Fano contact manifolds and nilpotent orbits*, Comment. Math. Helv 73 (1998), 566C583

[B-L] W.M. Beynon, G. Lusztig, *Some numerical results on the characters of exceptional Weyl groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 84 (3) (1978) 417–426.

[B-M] W. Borho, R. MacPherson, *Partial resolutions of nilpotent varieties*, in: *Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, II, III, Luminy, 1981*, Astérisque 101–102 (1983) 23–74, Soc. Math. France, Paris.

[C] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type. Conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1985

[dG] W. A. de Graaf, *Computing with nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras of exceptional type*, LMS J. Comput. Math. 11 (2008), 280–297.

[F1] B. Fu, *Symplectic resolutions for nilpotent orbits*, Invent. Math. 151 (2003), no. 1, 167–186

[F2] B. Fu, *Extremal contractions, stratified Mukai flops and Springer maps*. Adv. Math. 213 (2007), no. 1, 165–182.

[K] H. Kraft, *Closures of conjugacy classes in* G_2, J. Algebra 126 (1989), no. 2, 454–465

[L-S] G. Lusztig; N. Spaltenstein, *Induced unipotent classes*. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 19 (1979), no. 1, 41–52

[M] W. M. McGovern, *The adjoint representation and the adjoint action*, in *Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action* 159–238, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 131, Springer, Berlin, 2002

[N1] Y. Namikawa, *Birational geometry of symplectic resolutions of nilpotent orbits*, Advances Studies in Pure Mathematics 45, (2006), Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Geometry (Kyoto, 2004), pp. 75–116

[N2] Y. Namikawa, *Flops and Poisson deformations of symplectic varieties*, Publ. RIMS 44. No 2. (2008), 259-314

[N3] Y. Namikawa, *Induced nilpotent orbits and birational geometry*, arXiv:0809.2320

[P] D. Panyushev, *Rationality of singularities and the Gorenstein property for nilpotent orbits*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 25 (1991), 225-226

[S] T. Shoji, *On the Springer representations of Chevalley groups of type* F_4, Comm. Algebra 8 (5) (1980) 409–440.

[Sp] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sous-groupes de Borel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 946. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. ix+259 pp

[S1] T. A. Springer, *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 173–207

[S2] T. A. Springer, *A construction of representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **44** (1978), no. 3, 279–293